

Quick facts and uncontrolled sources can lead to plagiarism

CHRISTOPHE PREMAT

Romance and Classical Studies

Expected goal of the workshop

- Disciplinary board or pedagogy?
- The best strategy is to transform the disciplinary consequences into pedagogical discussions on what plagiarism means
- It requires anticipation and space for common discussions on plagiarism
- Neutralization of the negative effect (punishments...)



What happens in your department in case of detected plagiarism? Who are the persons involved? (5 mins)

- #Group discussion 1



Facts about plagiarism

- Many cases are reported to the disciplinary board of Stockholm University
(<https://www.su.se/english/staff/organisation-governance/governing-documents-rules-and-regulations/education/guidelines-for-disciplinary-matters-at-stockholm-university-1.181>)
- <https://www.dn.se/nyheter/sverige/studentkaren-stressen-kan-vara-en-orsak-till-okande-fusk/> (30 September 2019). 137 cases were reported at SU between January and September 2018.



Facts about plagiarism

- <https://www.expressen.se/nyheter/larmet-stor-okning-av-fuskande-studenter/> (increase of 40% between 2015 and 2017 in Swedish universities)
- Problem of image for universities (Chankova, 2017: 3).
Marketization of higher education
- Problem of ethics in Academy
- Impact of digital tools to detect plagiarism cases
- The word plagiarism does not appear in the law on higher education, the expression « unauthorized tools » is mentioned in the chapter 10 of the law on higher education.



The danger of plagiarism

- Consequences in Stockholm University (you cannot pass any exams. you cannot register in a course and you have to pay back your scholarship to the Central Board of Studies)
- Bad reputation (disloyalty / dishonesty / manipulation).
Copyright (Stealing the others' production)
- More and more plagiarism is discovered in our digital time.
- For teachers, there is a need to show students what is true/false (critical thinking).
- For the first courses, **critical thinking** can be practised through source criticism.



The use of collaborative strategies

- Trend for open access (publications) DOAJ, <https://www.doaj.org/> (Directory of Open Access Journals) (Weller, 2014)
- Kalin, 2012. Collaborative strategies (synchronization / asynchronization) affect the knowledge acquisition
- Possibility of disrapture
- The technologies can break the continuity of a course (interruptions, checks...)



The use of collaborative strategies

- For university teachers, it is important to reintroduce a pedagogical discourse on the use of these technologies and the sharing strategies.
- Quick facts = quick access to check facts (factual knowledge). It refers here to the quick facts that everyone can have with platforms such as *Wikipedia* or the *Swedish National Encyclopedia*.
- Popular facts: facts that are mixed with beliefs and that can be used in ideological discourses.
- Do we have to establish factual knowledge before reaching a higher level (Agarwal, 2019: 191)?
- Factual knowledge: acquisition process of basic facts



Definitions of plagiarism

- Difficulty: there are rules and policies but nobody reads them (Gullifer, Tyson, 2014: 1205)
- Cheating, non-attribution, patchwriting (Howard, 2000; Chankova, 2014). Most of the students are not aware of the problem
- "This is text that is taken and used without appropriate attribution to its original source. Using text without proper attribution with the intention to deceive is called **prototypical plagiarism**, whereas in cases where such an intention is irrelevant – **textual plagiarism** is also used as an umbrella term, covering both prototypical plagiarism and **patchwriting**" (Chankova, 2014: 2).
- Difference between **writing** and **reading** (Robillard, 2015: 202).



Urkund

- Panorama on the sources
- All the registered texts are archived in Urkund
- Interpreting the figures (there is nor rule *per se* that can reveal a plagiarism case).
- What do figures such as 20%, 50% mean in Urkund?
- **Does Urkund have any pedagogical utility (Vilhelmsson, 2010)? #Group discussion 2 (10 mins)**



Difficulties to define what plagiarism is

- <https://www.plagiarism.org/teaching-about-plagiarism>
(Last visit, 24 september 2019)
- Types of plagiarism
 - # 1 Clone
 - # 2 CTRLC- C
 - # 3 Find / Replace
 - # 4 Remix (patchwriting strategies)
 - # 5 Recycle



Types of plagiarism

- # 6 Hybrid (mixing sources, citations with unquoted texts)
- #7 Mashup (copying from different sources)
- # 8 404 Error (information that is misunderstood)
- #9 Aggregator (primary sources are not quoted, information obtained from secondary sources)
- # 10 Re-tweet there is the citation but with a different layout



Your experience of plagiarism

- #Group discussion 3 (10mins)
- 1) In your field, what are the typical cases of plagiarism?
- 2) Did you identify other practices of cheating?



Plagiarism practices

- Translated plagiarism
- Quick access to sources (Wikipedia,...) thanks to “socially-constructed Web technologies” (Kalin, 2012). **Collaborative practices** challenge the problem of plagiarism.
- Black market that sells papers to students
- Problem of **academic literacy** (how do students cite sources / art of quotation)
- **Textual pagiarism** (but it could be used in different modes)
- Oral presentations
- Patchwriting strategies (Pecorari, 2015: 97)



A real case at SU (Fall 2017)

- The student was excluded from exams
- “In the third question of the Examination, the case study with 5000 words, by reading through this question you can see that I have written almost of the answer by myself, but some parts which you have regard it as disciplinary it was by mistake. I did not mean it. According to the small sentences I think that many of those sentences are facts and how I can write it by another way. I did not mean to write it as a copy of the original one from the other resources, I have just read it several times to understand the context, but it seems that my mind remembered it and could not make distinguish between the text words or my words”



A real case (Fall 2017, SU)

- "About the tow long paragraphs, I used to take many parts of different articles and keep them on word file to read them and compare between them to reach to my findings and results based on different researches, and then I **remove** those original resources and keep just my own analyzing, I do this step to save the time because I had **limited time** to submit the assignment and I should read many articles to discover the relationships in my case study, because it was **not common study** and there were **not sufficient researches** which cover the relationship between students stress and rush hours in the daily commuting. But unfortunately, I forget to remove these parts" (public document, the names were anonymized)



Time problem

- Problem of time for students and for educators
- Difference of motivation levels (Jereb et al., 2018).
Intrinsic motivation (more awareness among motivated students)
- Existing resources at Stockholm University (Student house / library / courses in Academic writing)
- For teachers, you have the **regulation** but also many guidelines (Stockholms Universitet, 2011; Carroll, Zetterling, 2009).
- For Urkund users, there are edited guidelines



Talking about plagiarism

- When do you (would you) talk about plagiarism in a course ? (5 minutes) #Group discussion 4



Recommendation 1 = it is important to include a discussion on plagiarism to avoid it

- Talk about plagiarism in the beginning of the course and before **each exam** (the students need to be reminded of the problem). Explain what can be considered as **plagiarism** (rewriting a text).
- Be careful with oral **presentations** and PPT (a lot of plagiarism happens in PPT presentations) (see <http://plagiarism.org>)
- You can also discuss around the problem after an exam with a PPT presentation (**feedback**) (Hattie, Timperley, 2007). Students must assess the credibility and the quality of what is expected



Recommendation 1

- If you teach a course where plagiarism is a problem, it can be worth discussing some cases so that students can be aware of the multiplicity of the problem.
- If you do not have time, a quick discussion can be completed with **written instructions** before an exam.



Course descriptions

- **Plagiarism and cheating**
- "Follow carefully given instructions for each individual exam. In addition to the instructions in this document, additional guidance can be provided through separate documents (distributed or available on Athena) and / or by the teacher at the lesson)
- The text matching tool Urkund is used to depict any form of plagiarism. For general information about cheating and plagiarism, see also the Stockholm University Regulations, Book 2 (<http://www.su.se/regelboken>): Regulations for examination at Stockholm University and Guidelines for Disciplinary Affairs at Stockholm University" (Course descriptions available in the Department of Romance Studies, Stockholm University, Fall 2019)



Introducing a discussion on quick facts

- Lack of time / difficult agenda / quick facts on the internet.
Googling practices (quantity of information). No perspective on knowledge (relation between scientific phenomenon / concepts)
- Quick facts / poor references / risk of patchwriting
- Risk of giving an opinion instead of focusing on knowledge
- Knowledge takes time
- Likely Opinion / propaganda
- Risk of copyediting / pasting information ✂✂✂
- Quick facts are not good for the acquisition of scientific knowledge (Premat, 2019)



A cognitive dilemma

- Access to facts (complexity of sources)
- Students need to repeat, remember things in their learning practices
- It is a challenge for new students at the University
- Do they have to remember concepts? Do they have to repeat and imitate some structures in order to be creative in a later process?
- Writing / reading (Robillard, 2015)
- Students do not dare to cite sources found in Wikipedia, they perceive themselves as **illegitimate authors** (Premat, 2019).




Bloom's taxonomy (1956)



<https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/blooms-taxonomy/> (Retrieved on 30 september 2019) (Armstrong, 2018)

Bloom's Taxonomy



Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching



Stockholms
universitet

Plagiarism prevention

- Black market (business of writers) Problem of authorship
- Pedagogical studies (*Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*),
<https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/ij-sotl/>
- Evolution of softwares that detect plagiarism.
- Urkund system (data integration, overview of sources)



Recommendation 2: have a discussion on sources and facts

- Need of quoting sources (even poor sources should be quoted)
- A source should be identified (author / date / description of the frame / search motor)
- Difference between primary and secondary sources
- Selecting sources (you can use quick platforms to search other sources)



Recommendation 2

- Platforms of quick facts (Wikipedia / Nationalencyklopedi)
- For students (important to develop a critical habit regarding sources). **Generic competence:** ability to select adequate sources (Davies, 2013)
- Possibility to use Urkund as a systematic detector of the main sources surrounding the topic
- Maybe, you can select some good texts for students in Wikipedia to support their learning



Conclusions

- Do not neglect plagiarism issues by postponing discussions. The discussions are pedagogical as they open the debate on **learning strategies**.
- Convert discussions into **methodological debates** on how to answer exam questions depending on the format (oral presentation, written essay...)
- Deal with the **cognitive dilemma** when you give a feedback on an examination
- Check all the current sources around your topic (Wikipedia, Nationalencyklopedi...)
- Make students aware that they have to cite all used sources
- Try to use Urkund in different ways (consulted sources...)



References

- Agarwal, P. K. (2019). Retrieval Practice & Bloom's Taxonomy: Do Students Need Fact Knowledge Before Higher Order Learning? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, vol. 111, n. 2, 189-209.
- Armstrong, P. (2018). "Bloom's taxonomy", <https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/blooms-taxonomy/#bg>
- Bloom, B. S., Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: the Classification of Educational Goals by a committee of college and university examiners. Handbook 1: Cognitive Domain*. New York: Longmans, Green.
- Carroll, J., Zetterling, C.-M. (2009). *Guiding students away from plagiarism*. Stockholm : KTH Learning Lab and the authors.



References

- Chankova, Mariya (2017). "Dealing with Students' Plagiarism Pre-Emptively Through Teaching Proper Information Exploitation," *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*: Vol. 11: No. 2, Article 4. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.20429/ijsoTL.2017.110204>
- Davies, M. (2013). "Critical thinking and the disciplines reconsidered". *Higher Education Research & Development*, 32:4: 529-544.
- Gullifer, J.M., Tyson G.A. (2014). "Who has read the policy on plagiarism? Unpacking students' understanding of plagiarism", *Studies in Higher Education*, 39:7: 1202-1218.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
- Howard, R. M. (2000). "Sexuality, textuality: the cultural work of plagiarism", *College English*, 62, 4: 473-491.



References

- Jereb, E., Urh, M., Jerebic, J., & Šprajc, P. (2018). "Gender Differences and the Awareness of Plagiarism in Higher Education". *Social Psychology of Education: An International Journal*, 21(2), 409–426.
- Kalin, J. (2015). "Doing What Comes Naturally? Student Perceptions and Use of Collaborative Technologies", *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, Vol. 6: No. 1, Article 10. Available at:
<https://doi.org/10.20429/ijsofl.2012.060110>
- Pecorari, D. (2015). "Plagiarism in second language writing: Is it time to close the case?", *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 30: 94-99.
- Premat, Christophe (2019): Hur kan man lära studenter att undvika plagiering?. figshare. Paper, <https://doi.org/10.17045/sthlmuni.7850993.v1>



References

- Robillard, A. E. (2015). "Prototypical reading: volume, desire, anxiety", *College Composition and Communication*, vol. 67, issue 2: 197-215.
- Stockholms Universitet (2011). *Att motverka plagiering – En handbok för lärare vid Stockholms universitet*.
- Vilhelmsson, A. (2010). "Urkund – Utbildande eller endast avskräckande?", Report,
<https://lup.lub.lu.se/search/publication/1744854>
- Weller, M. (2014) *The Battle for Open: How openness won and why it doesn't feel like victory*. London: Ubiquity Press. DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.5334/bam>



Practical resources on plagiarism prevention

- <https://www.plagiarism.org/teaching-about-plagiarism>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pCd0oAJlxyI> (short movie on the necessity of citing all sources)

